

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/943,741	08/30/2001	Scott R. Watterson	13914.741	8528	
22913	7590 10/03/2003		EXAMINER		
WORKMAN NYDEGGER (F/K/A WORKMAN NYDEGGER &			CROW, STEPHEN R		
•	SEELEY) 60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE			PAPER NUMBER	
1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111			3764	4	
			DATE MAILED: 10/03/2003	, 4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

¥ min d					ΝΚ
· **		Applica	ition No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/943	,741	WATTERSON E	T AL.
(	Office Action Summary	Examin	er	Art Unit	
		Steve F	₹ Crow	3764	
	ne MAILING DATE of this commu	nication appears on t	he cover she	et with the correspondence a	address
THE MAI  - Extensions after SIX (  - If the peric - If NO peric - Failure to - Any reply (	FENED STATUTORY PERIOD IN LING DATE OF THIS COMMUN SOLUTION OF THIS COMMUN SOLUTION OF THIS COMMUN SOLUTION OF THIS COMMUN SOLUTION OF THIS COMMUN OF THIS COMUN OF THIS COMMUN OF THIS CO	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no munication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and y will, by statute, cause the a	event, however, n statutory minimum I will expire SIX (6 application to beco	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered tim ) MONTHS from the mailing date of this me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nely. . communication.
1) 🗌 R	esponsive to communication(s) f	iled on			
2a)	nis action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action	is non-final.		
3)∏ Si clo Disposition	nce this application is in condition osed in accordance with the prac of Claims	on for allowance exc ctice under <i>Ex parte</i>	ept for forma <i>Quayle</i> , 193	I matters, prosecution as to 5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	the merits is
4)⊠ Cla	im(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the	application.		÷	
4a)	Of the above claim(s) is/	are withdrawn from o	consideration	<b>1.</b>	
5)∏ Cla	im(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Cla	im(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.				
7)	im(s) is/are objected to.				
8)∏ Cla	nim(s) are subject to restr	iction and/or electior	ı requiremen	t.	
Application	Papers				
,	specification is objected to by the				
•	drawing(s) filed on is/are				
'	pplicant may not request that any ol	•	• •		
, —	proposed drawing correction file			I∐ disapproved by the Exam	iner.
	approved, corrected drawings are r	, , , ,	Office action.		
•	oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Examiner.			
-	er 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
,	knowledgment is made of a clair		under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
<i>,</i> —	All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
	Certified copies of the priority				
<del></del>	Certified copies of the priority				
	Copies of the certified copies application from the Interthe attached detailed Office acti	mational Bureau (PC	CT Rule 17.2	(a)).	al Stage
<b>14</b> )	nowledgment is made of a claim	for domestic priority	under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provision	nal application).
	The translation of the foreign land				
Attachment(s)					
2) Notice of	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)	(PTO-948) Paper No(s) <u>1</u> .		rview Summary (PTO-413) Paper I ce of Informal Patent Application (l er:	
S Datent and Tradem	park Office				

Application/Control Number: 09/943,741

Art Unit: 3764

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-4,7-10,14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Birrell et al .

Birrell et al discloses an elliptical exerciser comprising foot supports having 152 flexible deflecting means, and elliptical movement means. Spring 52 is broadly considered a leaf spring.

As to claim 14, note the foot pad 76 (figure 1) which would be applicably applied to the figures 4-5 embodiments.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Whan-tong et al in view of Vittone and Birrell et al.

Whan-Tong et al shows all of applicant's claimed invention with the exception of a leaf spring.

Application/Control Number: 09/943,741

Art Unit: 3764

Birrell et al teaches the use of a spring in an elliptical trainer for providing asymmetrical and uncoordinated motion.

Vittone discloses a device which simulates walking, stair climbing and other motions, and which appears to be capable of generating a rough elliptical motion.

Vittone uses leaf springs in all embodiments including figures 7-8 which disclose a structure very similar to a conventional elliptical trainer.

In view of the Birrell et al and Vittone teachings, it would have bene obvious to one skilled in the art to substitute the Vittone type leaf springs for the Whan – Tong foot supports for achieving asymmetrical motion as taught and desired by Birrell et al.

As to claim 20, note figure 15 which discloses telescoping arm supports, which could be employed in the figure 13 embodiment.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. Claim 17 is recites the limitation "said foot engagement pads" in lines 1-2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. The dependency should be changed from "10" to --14--.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosureAny inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steve R Crow whose

Application/Control Number: 09/943,741

Art Unit: 3764

Page 4

telephone number is 703-308-3398. The examiner can normally be reached on Reg:8:30-6;Off First Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nicholas Lucchesi can be reached on 703-308-2698. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-306-4520 for regular communications and 703-306-4520 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0873.

STEPHEN R. CROW PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 332

September 25, 2003